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Motivation, part 1

• Let $\Gamma$ be a finite connected cubic $G$-arc-transitive graph. Then $G$ is of one of 7 “types”:
  • $G$ is 1-arc-regular;
  • $G$ is 2-arc-regular (two “types”);
  • $G$ is 3-arc-regular;
  • $G$ is 4-arc-regular (two “types”);
  • $G$ is 5-arc-regular.

• It is easy to construct pairs $(\Gamma, G)$ for each of the above possibilities.

• Problem (Djoković and Miller, 1980): Can this be achieved with $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$?
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Motivation, part 2

- Let $\Gamma$ be a finite connected tetravalent $G$-half-arc-transitive graph. Then (by Marušič and Nedela):
  - $|G_v| = 2^s$ for some $s \geq 1$;
  - for every $s$, there is a finite number of “types” for $G$;

- Easy to find pairs $(\Gamma, G)$ for each of the above types.

- Marušič, Nedela, 2001: Can this be achieved with $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$?

- Yes, for some types, unknown in general!
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Possible general approach to such problems

General problem: We are given a pair $(\Gamma, G)$ of a given “type”, but such that $G < \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Can we find another pair $(\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{G})$ of the same “type”, where $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(\tilde{\Gamma})$. 
Covering projections, part I

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\Gamma$ be connected graphs.

A graph morphism $\varphi: \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ is a covering projection provided that

- $\varphi$ is a surjection (epimorphism);
- for every $v \in V_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ the restriction $\varphi_v: \tilde{\Gamma}(v) \to \Gamma(\varphi(v))$ is a bijection. The valence is preserved.
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Covering projections, local situation
Fibres and induced automorphisms

Let $\varphi: \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ be a covering projection.

- For a vertex or dart $x$ of $\Gamma$, the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ is called a fibre of $x$ (we have vertex-fibres and dart-fibres).

- An automorphism $\tilde{g} \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ that maps fibres to fibres induces an automorphism $g$ of $\Gamma$.

- In this case we say: $\tilde{g}$ projects, $g$ lifts, and $\tilde{g}$ is a lift of $g$.

- Let $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. If every $g \in G$ lifts, then $G$ lifts. The set $\tilde{G}$ of all lifts of all $g \in G$ is a group, called the lift of $G$.

- The lift of the trivial group $\langle \text{id}_{\Gamma} \rangle \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is called the group of covering transformations ... $\text{CT}(\varphi)$. 
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If $CT(\varphi)$ is transitive on every fibre, then $\varphi$ is a regular covering projection.

Let $\varphi: \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ be a regular covering projection. Suppose that $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ lifts to $\tilde{G}$. Then:

- $G$ is vertex-transitive iff $\tilde{G}$ is vertex-transitive;
- $G$ is edge-transitive iff $\tilde{G}$ is edge-transitive;
- $G$ is $s$-arc-transitive iff $\tilde{G}$ is $s$-arc-transitive;
- if $v = \varphi(\tilde{v})$, then $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{v}} \cong G_v$ and $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{v}}^{\tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{v})} \cong G_{\Gamma(v)}$.

In this sense regular covering projections preserve “type”. 
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Recall our problem: For a \((\Gamma, G)\) of a given “type”, find another pair \((\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{G})\) of the same “type” satisfying \(\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(\tilde{\Gamma})\).

We can now solve this by:

finding a regular covering projection \(\varphi: \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma\) s.t.:

1. \(G\) lifts along \(\varphi\), but no larger group does;

2. Every automorphism of \(\text{Aut}(\tilde{\Gamma})\) projects to some automorphism of \(\Gamma\).

This works since “type” is preserved by \(\varphi\).
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A word of warning

In general, it is difficult to control the automorphism group of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. If $\wp: \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ is a regular covering projection, then it may happen that:

1. There are automorphisms of $\Gamma$ that do not lift;
2. There are automorphisms of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ that do not project.
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1. There are automorphisms of $\Gamma$ that do not lift;
2. There are automorphisms of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ that do not project.
A word of warning, example

$\tilde{\Gamma} \cong K_{4,4}$;

$\sigma$ does not project;

$\tau$ has no lift.
Main result

Theorem (P. Spiga, PP, 2017)
Let $\Gamma$ be a finite graph s.t. $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts faithfully on the integral cycle space $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$, let $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and let $p$ be an odd prime. Then there exists a regular covering projection $\varphi: \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ s.t.

- $G$ is the maximal group that lifts along $\varphi$;
- $\text{CT}(\varphi)$ is a (finite) $p$-group.

We are not quite happy with this. We would like to add:

- Every automorphism of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ projects to an automorphism of $\Gamma$.

We conjecture this is true, but we have no proof!
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Group theoretical reformulation

**Theorem**

Let $p$ be a prime, let $T$ be an infinite tree, let $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$, let $N$ be a non-identity normal subgroup of $G$ of finite index such that $N_x = 1$ for every vertex and for every edge $x$ of $T$, and let $H = N_{\text{Aut}(T)}(N)$. If $H/N$ acts faithfully on the integral cycle space of $T/N$, then there exists a normal subgroup $P$ of $N$ of finite index such that $N_H(P) = G$ and that $N/P$ is $p$-group.

In order to prove the conjecture, we would need to have $N_{\text{Aut}(T)}(P) = G$ and not just $N_H(P) = G$. 
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Theorem

Let $\Gamma$ be a finite cubic $G$-arc-transitive graph. Then there exists a regular covering projection $\phi: \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ (with $\tilde{\Gamma}$ finite) such that $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ is the lift of $G$. 
Some consequences, proof

Let $\Gamma$ be a finite cubic $G$-arc-transitive graph. It is known that $|G_v|$ divides 48. It can be seen that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts faithfully on $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$.

Choose $p > 16|G|$.

By Theorem, there exists $\phi: \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ such that $G$ is the maximal group that lifts. Also, $P := \text{CT}(\phi)$ is a $p$-group.

Let $\tilde{G}$ be the lift of $G$ and let $\tilde{A} = \text{Aut}(\tilde{\Gamma})$. Then $|\tilde{A} : \tilde{G}| \leq 16$.

Therefore $|\tilde{A}| \leq 16|\tilde{G}| = 16 |P| |G| \leq |P|^2$.

Hence $P$ is a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup of $|\tilde{A}|$. In particular, $\tilde{A}$ projects.

Hence $\tilde{G} = \tilde{A}$. 
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Some consequences, 2-arc-transitive

- What is special about cubic arc-transitive graphs?
- Answer: The bound on the vertex-stabiliser.
- The same argument applies for any such situation, for example for 2-arc-transitive graphs of any valence, or for arc-transitive for odd prime valence.

**Theorem**

Let \( \Gamma \) be a finite \((G, 2)\)-arc-transitive graph (or \(G\)-arc-transitive of prime valence). Then there exists a regular covering projection \( \phi: \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma \) (with \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) finite) such that \( \text{Aut}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \) is the lift of \( G \).

... and several other similar theorems...
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Our theorem is not good enough to solve the problem of Marušič and Nedela:

Does there exist a tetravalent half-arc-transitive graph of every possible “type” (in particular, with arbitrary large non-abelian vertex-stabiliser).

But if “conjecture” is true, then the answer to the above is affirmative.
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